

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

**DARRYL HUDSON and
LORENZO HUDSON,**

Plaintiffs,

VS.

**CITY OF MOBILE, ALA. and
JUDGE DON DAVIS,**

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 25-00152-KD-MU

ORDER

Previously, Plaintiffs Darryl Hudson and Lorenzo Hudson were ordered to show cause on or before October 13 2025 why the Court should not drop the City of Mobile as a defendant in this action (doc. 12). To date, the Hudsons have not responded to the Order and the copy of the Order mailed to the Hudsons has not been returned.

Accordingly, the Clerk is directed to dismiss the City of Mobile as a defendant. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 21 (“On motion or on its own, the court may at any time, on just terms, add or drop a party.”); Daker v. Head, 730 Fed. Appx. 765, 768 (11th Cir. 2018) (recognizing a district court’s “authority under Rule 21 [to] sua sponte ... dismiss improper defendants”); Glen v. Galardi S. Enterprises, Inc., 2015 WL 1308258, at *3 (N.D. Ga. Mar. 23, 2015) (“The Eleventh Circuit has held that ‘[d]ropping or adding a party to a lawsuit pursuant to Rule 21 is left to the sound discretion of the trial court.’”) (citation omitted).

DONE and **ORDERED** this 6th day of January 2026.

s / Kristi K. DuBose
KRISTI K. DuBOSE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE